



Does Growth Clean Up After Itself? A Multi-Gas Test of the Environmental Kuznets Curve

Snober Fazal¹, Javaiz Alam², Faiza Mudassir³

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Agriculture and Resource Economics, MNS University of Agriculture Multan, Pakistan.
Email: snober.fazal@mnsuam.edu.pk

² Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, MNS University of Agriculture Multan, Pakistan.
Email: javiz.alam@mnsuam.edu.pk

³ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, MNS University of Agriculture Multan, Pakistan.
Email: faiza.mudassir@mnsuam.edu.pk

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: February 28, 2026
Revised: March 27, 2026
Accepted: March 28, 2026
Available Online: March 29, 2026

Keywords:

Environmental Kuznets Curve
Greenhouse Gases
CO₂
CH₄
N₂O
Renewable Energy
Panel Data
Developing Economies
Fixed Effects
Dynamic Panel

Funding:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ABSTRACT

This study reexamines the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis in developing economies, identifying which greenhouse gas CO₂, CH₄, or N₂O most influences environmental degradation, and combining all three into a composite index via Principal Component Analysis. Using a cleaned panel of 51 developing economies, 2000–2024. The study estimates two-way fixed effects models, an Anderson–Hsiao dynamic-panel check, and a cross-sectional dependence robust CCEMG estimator. The inverted-U EKC holds only for N₂O under fixed effects (turning point $\approx$ US\$6,000 GDP per capita, already crossed by 23% of the sample), though CCEMG motivated by a Pesaran CD test confirming strong cross-sectional dependence tempers this to a directional rather than significant result. Evidence for CO₂, CH₄, and the composite index remains weak throughout. Renewable energy consistently lowers CO₂ emissions; nonrenewable energy is the strongest, most robust driver of degradation across specifications; urbanization raises emissions significantly. These results show that EKC conclusions in developing economies depend heavily on which pollutant is used and which econometric technique is applied, with implications for energy and industrial policy.

© 2026 The Authors, Published by iRASD. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License

Corresponding Author's Email: snober.fazal@mnsuam.edu.pk

1. Introduction

Global warming and environmental degradation driven by greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions remain among the most consequential challenges facing the global economy. Since the industrial revolution, the pursuit of economic growth has been accompanied by a persistent rise in GHG emissions and particularly carbon dioxide (CO₂) contributing to ozone depletion, rising global mean temperatures, and increasingly visible physical effects such as accelerated sea-ice loss, rising sea levels, and more frequent extreme heat events. Carbon dioxide remains the single largest anthropogenic GHG by volume, but methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are also major contributors to radiative forcing and are disproportionately linked to agriculture, livestock, and industrial waste sectors that make up a larger share of economic activity in developing than in advanced economies. Developing economies, in aggregate, are now the fastest-growing source of global GHG emissions: fuel-related carbon emissions in developing countries rose sharply between

1990 and the early 2010s, and multiple international assessments have projected further increases without significant policy intervention (IEA, 2015; OECD projections cited in Sohag, Al Mamun, Uddin, & Ahmed, 2017).