



Situation of Refugees in Warzone of The World and their Legal Issues

Muhammad Ahsan Iqbal¹, Hamid Mukhtar², Hafiz Abdul Rehman Saleem³

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Sub Campus Vehari, Pakistan.

Email: ahsanhashmi@bzu.edu.pk

² Research Scholar, School of Law, University of Okara, Punjab, Pakistan. Email: hamid_mukhtar41@yahoo.com

³ Research Scholar, Department of Law, University of Sahiwal, Pakistan.

Email: drabdulrehman@uosahiwal.edu.pk

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received: May 03, 2024

Revised: June 21, 2024

Accepted: June 22, 2024

Available Online: June 23, 2024

Keywords:

International Community

Legal Status

Disaster Area

Refuge

UNHCR

IDPs

Iraq

Pakistan

Barricades to Return

Afghanistan

Funding:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

This paper examines the legal challenges faced by refugees in disaster areas and the challenges they face in accessing essential rights and protections. The paper begins by presenting the scale of the global displacement emergency and the unique challenges refugees face in the context of disaster areas. It then examines the legal framework governing refugee status under international regulation, including the 1951 Refugee Convention, and discusses the challenges associated with its implementation and overseeing it. The article additionally addresses the challenges that refugees face in disaster areas, including limited access to education, health care, and employment. Operations, including dangers of exploitation, dealing, and abuse. The paper concludes with recommendations to address these issues, including increasing subsidizing, legal protection, increasing access to education and employment, and strengthening the option to live. Abroad, improve cooperation, and address the main drivers of conflict and violence. Overall, this article features the urgent need for action to protect the rights of refugees in conflict zones and ensure their safety, well-being and integration into new societies. The protection and rights of refugees isn't only an ethical imperative yet in addition a legal obligation under international regulation and it is the responsibility, everything being equal, to ensure that these obligations are met.

© 2024 The Authors, Published by iRASD. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License

Corresponding Author's Email: drabdulrehman@uosahiwal.edu.pk

1. Introduction

An outcast is an individual who, because of irrational apprehension about mistreatment in light of race, religion, identity, participation in a particular party or political assessment, is outside their citizenship, and can't or, inferable from such trepidation, is hesitant to help himself of the security of that country. This can occur for various reasons, including political or strict abuse, ethnic or racial segregation, and furnished struggle. To be viewed as an outcast, an individual ought to moreover be outside their country and not track down insurance from their own administration. At the point when an individual is compelled to escape their home, they may at first look for shelter in an adjoining country. The present moment, they may be qualified for help from the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR - After Decades in Pakistan, February 8, 2023) or different organizations that give assistance to refugees. Close to the completion of 2017, the global count of enlisted refugees reached 25.4 million individuals. The dilemma of displaced individuals in a calamity stricken locale presents a different and basic test requiring fast thought (Tirana Hassan, 2023). Beside grappling with issues associated with getting fundamental necessities like safe house, food, and clinical aide, refugees furthermore confront a pile of genuine complexities concerning their status and security. The Refugee Convention (1951) remains as the fundamental global authentic construction controlling displaced individual endeavors, yet its implementation and approval change comprehensively across nations. Moreover, pariahs as frequently as conceivable experience immense obstructions in accessing significant opportunities and protections, including preparing, clinical consideration,

and business possible entryways. They may moreover continue on through limitations on their chance of improvement and traverse risks of cheating, managing, and various kinds of abuse.

The 1951 Refugee Convention is a global settlement that depicts the honors and protections remained to displaced individuals. At originally embraced by the United Nations in 1951 in the result of The Subsequent Extraordinary Conflict, the Convention was a response to the colossal displacement of individuals achieved by the dispute. This plan describes the models for recognizing migration and structures their honors, as well as the genuine liabilities of states in safeguarding their flourishing. According to the Convention, a refugee is an individual external to his/her country of living or consistent home who can't or is hesitant to return in light of a particularly settled worry about abuse considering components like race, religion, identity, enlistment in a particular get-together, or political evaluation (The 1951 Refugee Convention, January 29, 2023). The Convention moreover loosens up confirmation to those getting away from events disclosing serious aggravations demand. As well as respecting the standard of non-refoulement (confining the appearance of displaced individuals to places where they could go up against hurt), the Convention grants refugees the choice to work, access and get public assistance. It works like custom orders countries to collaborate with the United Nations in ensuring the security of refugees. The Convention has been approved by 145 nations all over the planet, making it perhaps of the most broadly acknowledged common freedom deals on the planet. It is viewed as a foundation of global exile regulation, and its arrangements have been integrated into the homegrown laws of numerous nations (IKRK, 2024). It is critical to take note of that the 1951 Convention just applies to refuges who were displaced before January 1, 1951, and not the individuals who became refugees in this way. It was chosen in the convention as "The Gathering met in Geneva from 2 to 25 July 1951 and took as its reason for discussion the draft which had been arranged by the Specially appointed Advisory group on displaced and Stateless People, save that the Prelude was that embraced by the Financial and Social Board, while article 1 (definition) was as suggested by the United Nations and attached to goal 429 (V). On embracing the last text, the UN likewise consistently took on a Last venture, including five proposals covering travel documents, family solidarity, non-legislative organizations, refuge, and utilization of the Convention past its legally binding extension (DIHL, 2022).

Despite the planned complementarity between the obligations of the UNHCR and the extent of the new Convention, an undeniable distinction previously existed: the command of the UNHCR was widespread and general, unconstrained by geological or transient limitations, while the definition sent to the United Nations, mirroring the hesitance of States to sign a "unlimited free pass" for obscure quantities of future refugees, was confined to the people who became refuges by reason of occasions happening before 1 January 1951 (and the Meeting was to add a further choice, permitting States to restrict their commitments to refugees coming about because of occasions happening in Europe before the basic date)." To address this, 1967 Convention Connecting with the Situation with Refugees was embraced which eliminated the date limitation, making every one of the arrangements of the 1951 Convention pertinent to refugees who became refuges hence. In this paper, we will explore the legal issues facing refugees in war zones, including their status under international law, their right to asylum, and the practical challenges they face in accessing basic rights and protections. There are several current issues and challenges regarding refugees and the need to find solutions in international refugee law. Some of the most pressing issues include, Large-scale forced displacement, Lack of durable solutions, Xenophobia and anti-migration sentiment, Restrictions on movement, Climate change and environmental displacement, Burden sharing, Statelessness, Political instability, and conflicts. Through this analysis, we will gain a profounder thoughtful of the challenges facing refugees in war zones and the urgent need for action to address their needs and protect their rights. Ultimately, our aim is to raise consciousness about the dilemma of refugees in war zones and to supporter for policies and programs that support their security, wellbeing, and integration into new communities.

The current research will be founded on the qualitative analysis for making sense of Problems looked by refugees in changed disaster areas all over the planet and dissecting lawful issues looked by refugees and prescribing moves toward conquer these issues based on this study. For this study, different books, regulations, case regulations, diaries, research articles and reports are contemplated. The organization of the paper comprises of four significant parts the initial segment after the introduction refugees and their legitimate status. The subsequent part makes sense of Problems looked by refuges in changed disaster areas. The third part analyzes

lawful issues looked by refugees and the ways of decreasing these issues. The fifth part comprise of end. The end likewise involves the proposals of commonsense nature that can resolve the problems looked by refugees in a sound example, and contribute emphatically in giving freedoms and equity to refugees.

2. Problems faced by refugees in different war zones around the world

Refugees from war zones often flee their homes in search of safety and stability. Many end up living in refugee camps or other temporary settlements, either within their home country or in neighboring countries (European Union Agency for Asylum, February 8, 2023). Here are a few examples of where refugees from some of the world's ongoing conflict zones are currently residing. Millions of Syrian refugees have fled the country, with many living in adjacent countries such as Lebanon, Jordan, and Turkey. Some have also sought refuge in Europe and elsewhere. Many Yemenis have been displaced within their country, while others have sought refuge in neighboring countries such as Oman and Saudi Arabia. Afghan refugees are residing in neighboring countries such as Iran and Pakistan, as well as further afield in Europe and North America (IKRK, 2024). "As of January 2022, there were roughly 3 million Afghans living in Pakistan, around 1.4 million of them are Evidence of Enrollment (PoR) cardholders, roughly 840,000 hold an Afghan Resident Card (ACC), and an expected 775,000 are undocumented. While PoR and ACC cardholders are offered restricted assurance, mainly from refoulement, undocumented Afghans are presented to capture, detainment and removal."¹ The Iraq War resulted in millions of people being displaced from their homes and becoming refugees, either within Iraq or in other countries. Some of the largest host countries for Iraqi refugees include Jordan, Syria, Lebanon, Turkey and Iran. Turkey has hosted a big number of Iraqi refugees as a result of the conflict in Iraq and the ongoing violence in the region (KAYA, 2009). In addition to these countries, many Iraqi refugees have also sought asylum in other republics in the region, such as Egypt, and in other countries around the world, including the United States, Australia, and European countries. Ukrainian refugees have sought safety in neighboring countries such as Russia and Belarus. Rohingya refugees from Myanmar are living in Bangladesh, while other refugees from the country are living in Thailand and elsewhere in Southeast Asia. Somali refugees are living in neighboring countries such as Kenya and Ethiopia, as well as further afield in Europe and North America. Libyan refugees are residing in neighboring countries such as Tunisia and Niger, as well as in Europe (Giudici, 2013).

The refugees deal with numerous problems, for example, first in quite a while, refugees will be unable to get residency allows or work visas, making it hard for them to access essential administrations and procure an occupation, likewise face troubles in getting shelter. Second refugees may be confined in their developments, either inside their host country or between nations. For instance, in certain nations, refugees may be expected to enlist with the specialists and get an extraordinary license to go beyond designated exile camps. Third they are defying hindrances to accessing fundamental administrations like medical care, instruction, and work, because of their shortfall of legal status and different obstructions. For instance, in certain nations, refugees may be denied access to public wellbeing administrations or expected to pay for administrations that are routinely given to free to residents. Fourth they are defying challenges in rejoining with family members who are living somewhere else. For instance, in certain nations, there may be extreme prerequisites for family reunification, similar to proof of family relationships or financial support. Fifth they are frequently defenseless against double-dealing, including illegal abuse and constrained work (Miller et al., 2019). For instance, a few refugees may be compelled to work in shifty or perilous circumstances for low remuneration, without legal security. Sixth various refugees need legitimate documentation, which makes it difficult for them to access legal administrations or to demonstrate their personality in an authority court. The shortfall of a legal system for the insurance of refugees worsens the issue and leaves refugees helpless against double-dealing and misuse. Seventh refugees may similarly confront obstructions to accessing equity, as the legal framework can be intricate and difficult to explore, particularly for the people who are new to the language and culture of the host country. Likewise, refugees probably won't have the financial assets to pay for legal portrayal, further confining their access to legal administrations. Restricted access to critical legitimate administrations presents huge challenges for refugees, affecting their ability to maintain their privileges and access equity. Besides, refugees frequently fight with separation and xenophobia

¹ "EUAA Publishes Report on Afghan Refugees in Pakistan."

from the nearby local area, making obstructions to their feeling of having a place and security in their embraced country (Grare & Maley, 2011).

3. Legal Issues Faced by Refugees

Refugees experience a huge number of legitimate intricacies that can demonstrate complex and overwhelming to explore. Numerous refugees experience challenges accessing haven frameworks in the nations they look for shelter in. They might confront boundaries like prohibitive migration policies, absence of data, or challenges in demonstrating their displaced person status. Moreover, various refugees end up confined in migration detainment focuses while their refuge claims are handled, which can be a damaging encounter and may prompt further legitimate confusions. A refugee might be in danger of extradition to their nation of origin, where they could confront oppression or risk. The lawful interaction for removal can be tangled and may include challenges like absence of fair treatment or deficient legitimate portrayal. Moreover, a few refugees might be stateless, meaning they need identity or the security of any country. This can make it difficult for them to access fundamental freedoms and administrations and may prompt extra legal challenges. When an outcast is conceded cover, they could confront legal challenges in coordinating into their host country. This can incorporate challenges like finding business, accessing dwelling, and signing up for schools or colleges (Rodenhaeuser, 2018). Finding long haul answers for refugees, recollecting resettlement for another country, return to their home country, or integration into the country where they have searched for shelter, can be complicated and may consume a large chunk of the day.

A few nations force limitations on the opportunity of development of refugees, making it trying for them to access work and different open doors. A few refugees face the bet of extradition, particularly if they are in a country without legal status. With this dread they can never really support their family and in any event, for themselves too. Refugees frequently face obstructions in accessing the legal framework and getting equity, particularly in instances of misuse and abuse since they have no kind of legal help. Many host nations make the most of this situation of refugees by including them as work. They can't access instruction and work open doors, which can prompt neediness and trouble. A few refugees are isolated from their families, which can fundamentally affect their prosperity and future possibilities. These issues include the requirement for the worldwide local area to offer help and assurance to refugees and to find getting through answers for their legal problems. The displacement of normal individuals from their homes and partition from their families is one of the most widely recognized results of outfitted struggle and one that prompts enormous magnanimous worries. Here and there customary residents are compelled to escape across global lines looking for wellbeing, security, and sanctuary (Simpson, 2017). While worldwide supportive guideline (IHL) can't forestall all displacement, it confines any effective displacement committed by battling parties. The express forbiddance of displacement alludes to a legal or policy arrangement that explicitly confines the constrained movement of individuals or networks from their homes or terrains. This can be remembered for public regulations, worldwide settlements, or in the policies of organizations like the Assembled Countries. The express restriction of displacement is expected to safeguard the freedoms of individuals and networks and to forestall constrained ousting and different kinds of displacement that can have negative social, monetary, and altruistic outcomes. The effect of the express preclusion of displacement can contrast contingent upon the particular setting in which it is carried out, but overall it plans to safeguard the privileges of individuals and networks and forestall adverse results like constrained expulsion, loss of homes and livelihoods, and displacement-related brutality. Positive effects of the express restriction of displacement can incorporate conservation of networks and social legacy, security of weak groups like native people groups, ladies, youngsters, and more established individuals, assurance of property privileges and access to land and Advancement of reasonable turn of events and destitution decrease (Lewis, 2012).

The guideline of non-refoulement is a crucial rule of global outcast guideline, which disallows the constrained return (or "refoulement") of refugees to a country where they would be at risk for mistreatment or other serious refusals of fundamental opportunities. This standard applies to refugees and sanctuary searchers, as well as to others who may not meet the customary meaning of an refugee yet rather who are at risk for oppression or other normal opportunities infringement at whatever point got back to their nation of origin. The rule of non-refoulement is broadly acknowledged as a norm of standard global guideline, and is reflected in the 1951 Convention Connecting with the Situation with Refugees and its 1967 Convention, as

well as in other worldwide normal freedoms instruments. It is viewed as one of the most basic insurances stood to refugees and safe house searchers, and is viewed as outright, implying that it can't be superseded by different contemplations, similar to public safety. The standard of non-refoulement applies to a wide range of constrained return, including removal, removal, and ejection. This incorporates situations where an individual may be sent back to a third country, if there is a bet that they would, be befouled to their country of starting (Rodenhaeuser, 2018). By and by, non-refoulement is for the most part regarded by the nations, but there are situations where it isn't regarded, particularly in situations of war or political flimsiness, or in situations where states have been blamed for not appropriately evaluating the risks looked by individuals looking for cover. Here are a few models Australia's seaward confinement policy: Australia's policy of keeping cover searchers who convention up by boat in seaward detainment communities has been reprimanded for manhandling the guideline of non-refoulement. Removals from the EU to Afghanistan. Lately, there have been instances of refugees and sanctuary searchers being extradited from European nations to Afghanistan, notwithstanding the continuous struggle and refusals of essential opportunities in the country. Return of refugees to Syria. Notwithstanding the continuous struggle and disavowals of fundamental opportunities in Syria, a few refugees have been successfully gotten back to the country, disregarding the rule of non-refoulement. U.S. "Remain in Mexico" policy. The U.S. "Remain in Mexico" policy, which requires shelter searchers to hold on in Mexico while their sanctuary claims are handled, has been reprimanded for dismissing the standard of non-refoulement, as various individuals face risk and weakness in Mexico (Enríquez, 2022). These models highlight the requirement for more noteworthy endeavors to maintain the guideline of non-refoulement and to guarantee that refugees and safe house searchers are shielded from constrained return to places where their lives or opportunities would be undermined.

UN office answerable for securing and helping refugees, cover searchers, and stateless people. The office's main job is to give security and help to persons who have been obliged to escape their homes because of mistreatment, war, or savagery. The UNHCR works intimately with the public authority of the host country and the worldwide local area to give insurance and help to war refugees, and to advocate for the privileges and needs of war refugees on the global stage. The office attempts to guarantee that war refugees are safeguarded from hurt and have their fundamental privileges regarded. This incorporates giving legal help and guaranteeing that refugees are not sent back to a country where they would be at serious risk. Because of war, the organization gives quick crisis help to refugees like food, cover, clinical consideration, and different necessities. The office attempts to find long haul answers for war refugees, recollecting resettlement for another country, return to their nation of origin once the contention is finished, or integration into the country where they have searched for asylum. The organization works in displaced person camps to give assurance, help, and schooling to refugees (IILA, 2022). The organization screens the situation of refugees and reports on refusals of essential freedoms, needs and patterns to enlighten policy and advancement endeavors.

The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) is the UN organization liable for giving assurance and help to refugees, cover searchers, and stateless individuals all around the planet. The office's command is to guarantee that individuals escaping mistreatment, struggle, and viciousness are given the support they need to modify their lives and restore themselves in security and pride. In Iraq, the UNHCR expects a critical part in giving help and security to refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs). The organization works in close coordinated effort with the public authority of Iraq and different accomplices to give a scope of administrations Crisis help to give food, cover, and other essential necessities to refugees and IDPs who have been compelled to escape their homes. The UNHCR attempts to guarantee that refugees and IDPs are safeguarded from savagery, misuse, and abuse and that their freedoms are regarded. The organization in like manner gives legal help and support to those looking for safe house. The UNHCR assists refugees and IDPs with accessing schooling and getting ready open doors, so they can learn new capacities and build a superior future for them as well as their families. The organization gives access to medical care administrations and attempts to work on the general wellbeing and prosperity of refugees and IDPs. The UNHCR attempts to reinforce the strength of exile and IDP people group by offering assistance for local area based organizations and drives. The UNHCR assists refugees and IDPs with finding solid arrangements, as purposeful re-visitation of their nation of origin, nearby integration or resettlement in another country. It furthermore works with other UN offices, non-administrative organizations, and the worldwide

local area to facilitate endeavors and guarantee that all refugees and IDPs get the support they need. It similarly works with other UN offices, non-administrative organizations, and the global local area to arrange endeavors and guarantee that all refugees and IDPs get the support they need (Beyani, 2000; Borthakur, 2017).

Worldwide refugee convention is designed to safeguard the freedoms of individuals who have been compelled to escape their homes because of mistreatment, war, or different kinds of viciousness. During seasons of war, exile guideline is designed to safeguard individuals who have been compelled to escape their homes because of the contention. The 1951 Convention Connecting with the Situation with Refugees and 1967 Convention are the key legal instruments safeguard the freedoms of refugees. These instruments characterize who is viewed as an outcast and give a structure to the security and help of refugees, including arrangements for non-refoulement, which blocks the constrained return of refugees to a country where they would confront mistreatment. Notwithstanding the 1951 Convention and its 1967 Convention, there are similarly other worldwide legal instruments that safeguard the freedoms of individuals displaced by equipped clash, similar to the 1949 Geneva Conventions and their Extra Conventions (The 1951 Refugee Convention, January 29, 2023). These instruments give assurances to normal people and troopers who are finished taking part in threats, similar to detainees of war and the injured. In any case, it's in like manner vital to take note of that the assurance of the freedoms of refugees and others displaced by war isn't by and large totally regarded by and by, and that various refugees and refugees keep on confronting basic challenges, similar to nonattendance of access to fundamental administrations and security from savagery. Compelling implementation, observing, and authorization of the regulations, as well as the arrangement of elective arrangements and support for those impacted by displacement, are moreover significant variables (Azam, 2021).

To conquer these issues there is need to expanded sponsoring. Nations and global organizations should build financing to support generous aide endeavors and furnish refugees in a debacle regions with access to basic necessities like food, safe house, and clinical consideration. Give them more prominent assurance: State run administrations and global organizations should do whatever it takes to guarantee that refugees in catastrophe regions are furnished with more prominent legal security and are not expose to unpredictable detainment, constrained return, or different kinds of misuse. Refugees in a debacle regions should be given more prominent access to training and work potential chances to support their drawn out integration and independence. Legislatures should fortify their asylum policies to guarantee that refugees are furnished with sufficient assurance and support during the sanctuary cycle, and that their cases are handled effectively and acceptably and should cooperate to further develop coordination and data sharing to guarantee that refugees in catastrophe regions get the help and support they need. To forestall the displacement of individuals anyway, state run administrations and worldwide organizations ought to address the main drivers of contention and brutality, including neediness, imbalance, and political flimsiness (Enríquez, 2022).

4. Conclusion

The issue of refugees from disaster areas is a perplexing and continuous problem that represents various challenges for those impacted and for the nations that have them. These challenges incorporate loss of home and possessions, injury, restricted access to medical services and instruction, language obstructions, and trouble tracking down work. It is pivotal for state run administrations, global organizations, and people to meet up and offer help as help, assets, and backing to assist with working on the existences of refugees and address the main drivers of contention and displacement. The global local area has an obligation to guarantee that refugees can live in security and poise, and to pursue tracking down long haul answers for this continuous emergency. To address these challenges, it is fundamental that we make a move to increment financing for compassionate guide endeavors, reinforce legal insurances for refugees, further develop access to instruction and business open doors, and work towards tending to the underlying drivers of contention and savagery. By making these strides, we can make an all the more and sympathetic world for refugees in disaster areas, and guarantee that they are furnished with the support and security they need to revamp their lives in wellbeing and nobility. The security and freedoms of refugees in disaster areas isn't just an moral goal, yet in adding a legal commitment under global regulation, and it is the obligation of us all to guarantee that these commitments are met.

References

- Azam, R. I. (2021). Analysis of Pakistan's policy towards Afghan refugees: A legal perspective.
- Beyani, C. (2000). *Human rights standards and the free movement of people within states*: Oxford University Press.
- Borthakur, A. (2017). Afghan refugees: The impact on Pakistan. *Asian Affairs*, 48(3), 488-509. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03068374.2017.1362871>
- DIHL. (2022). *Displacement and IHL - Diakonia International Humanitarian Law Centre*. Retrieved from <https://www.diakonia.se/ihl/resources/international-humanitarian-law/ihl-displacement/>
- Enríquez, C. G. (2022). The welcome given to Ukrainian refugees: some challenges and uncertainties. In: Elcano.
- European Union Agency for Asylum, E. (February 8, 2023). Retrieved from <https://euaa.europa.eu/news-events/euaa-publishes-report-afghan-refugees-pakistan>
- Giudici, D. (2013). From 'Irregular Migrants' to Refugees and Back: Asylum Seekers' Struggle for Recognition in Contemporary Italy. *Journal of Mediterranean Studies*, 22(1), 61-85.
- Grare, F., & Maley, W. (2011). The afghan refugees in Pakistan. *J Middle East Institute. Refugees Cooperation*, 43-63.
- IILA. (2022). *Geneva Conventions and their additional protocols*. Cornell Law School. Retrieved from https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/geneva_conventions_and_their_additional_protocols
- IKRK. (2024). *Humanitarian Law, Human Rights and Refugee Law – Three Pillars | ICRC*. Retrieved from <https://www.icrc.org/de/node/119764>
- Lewis, C. (2012). *UNHCR and international refugee law: from treaties to innovation*: Routledge.
- Miller, A. B., Hahn, E., Norona, C. R., Treves, S., St Jean, N., Gassen Templet, L., . . . Ford-Paz, R. (2019). A socio-culturally, linguistically-responsive, and trauma-informed approach to mental health interpretation. *National Center for Child Traumatic Stress*.
- Rodenhaeuser, T. (2018). The principle of non-refoulement in the migration context: 5 key points. *Humanitarian Law & Policy Blog*, 30.
- Simpson, G. (2017). *Pakistan coercion, UN complicity: The mass forced return of Afghan refugees*: Human Rights Watch.
- The 1951 Refugee Convention, R.-G. S. (January 29, 2023). Retrieved from https://www.google.com/search?q=The+1951+Refugee+Convention&sxsrf=AJOqlzXEAOhQOCXg3iwwPXm36PtCa5AvRQ%3A1674965014842&ei=FvDVY-X2MpCbkdUPpPur8Ao&ved=0ahUKEwjI2eH18uv8AhWQTaQEHaT9Cq4Q4dUDCA8&uact=5&oq=The+1951+Refugee+Convention&gs_lcp=Cgxnd3Mtd2I6LXNlcnAQAzIFCAAQgAQyBQgAEIAEMgUIABCABDIFCAAQgAQyBggAEBYQHjIGCAAQFhAeMgYIABAWEB4yBggAEBYQHjIGCAAQFhAeMgYIABAWEB46CggAEFcQ1gQQsANKBAhBGABKBAhGGABQwre7AVjCt7sBYPT3uwFoBnABeACAAYUCiAGFAPIBAZItMZgBAKABAqABAcgBCMABAQ&sclient=gws-wiz-serp
- Tirana Hassan, T. (2023). *World Report 2023: Pakistan | Human Rights Watch. Human Rights Watch*. Retrieved from <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2023/country-chapters/pakistan>
- UNHCR - After Decades in Pakistan, M. A. R. S. t. R. (February 8, 2023). Retrieved from <https://www.unhcr.org/news/latest/2016/6/576bd0a84/decades-pakistan-afghan-refugees-set-return.html>.