



Regional Security Network in South Asia: A Prescriptive Approach to Addressing Diverse Security Threats

Raziq Hussain¹, Aamir Khan²

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of International Relations, Muslim Youth (MY) University Islamabad, Pakistan.

² Ph.D. Scholar, Department of International Relations, Muslim Youth (MY) University Islamabad, Pakistan.

Email: choranway@yahoo.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: April 16, 2023

Revised: May 03, 2023

Accepted: June 04, 2023

Available Online: June 04, 2023

Keywords:

Regional Security Network

Non-Traditional Security

Security Complex

South Asia

Regional Security Complex Theory

Funding:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ABSTRACT

The precarious nature of the evolving global security order and the emergence of associated new buzzwords both in academia and policy circles clearly suggest that the international security environment has changed substantially. Regional and global security hazards have further diluted security situation around the world. States have taken a number of measures to cope with security challenges and strategic uncertainties, with largely unsatisfactory outcomes. In our view, a better alternative to traditional way of ensuring security is to develop regional security networks (RSN) among nations of a specific geographic region. RSN is based on the idea that there are various security challenges that cannot effectively be met without regional collaboration and coordination. The idea of RSN calls for, among other things, creating a collaborative platform for information sharing, joint training, and coordinated response mechanisms to address security threats in South Asia. Prescriptive in character, this article provides a strategic roadmap for policymakers to strengthen regional security cooperation and foster stability and peace in South Asia by establishing a robust RSN.

© 2023 The Authors, Published by iRASD. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License

Corresponding Author's Email: choranway@yahoo.com

1. Introduction

The end of the Cold War and the concomitant loosening of the bipolar “overlay” of domination paved the way for the emergence of different regions that started to assert their geostrategic autonomy in world politics. It was perhaps triggered by the realization that regional security could better be maintained by the states of the region themselves if they succeed in working together. In some cases, this new thinking resulted in the formation of regional “security communities” as the concerned states were able to bury the differences and historical animosities. In some regions, on the contrary, the very phenomenon exacerbated the mutual fears as the states conceived their respective security being threatened primarily by their neighbors. This “security dilemma” unleashed an unbridled process of balance of power, centered on building traditional war-fighting capabilities and making new alliances and counter-alliances. Today, the traditional defense-offence landscape has changed, and states are encountered with new forms of threats which entail a new approach to the concept of security. The changing nature of threats, demanding new and different strategies to safeguarding national/ regional security, appears to be pushing states to shift their exclusive focus on conventional warfare to non-conventional one. This not only calls for changing the conventional lenses of security, it also requires that concept of security be further enriched with a well-organized and well-conceived regional security network which could enable a country to timely respond to potential threats by developing close geostrategic relations with its neighbors.

Security threats are a constant concern for nations around the world, particularly for countries in the South Asian region. Given the diverse range of challenges faced by countries in the region, it is imperative to have effective policies and strategies in place to address these threats. Establishing a RSN in the region can be a promising new approach to address diverse

security threats. This article is aimed at providing some practical policy guidelines for the formation of such a network by looking into the challenges faced by the regional states in the realm of security, and outlining a comprehensive as well as ambitious plan of action to successfully deal with them. Buzan's contribution to the study of regional security is a crucial dimension to take into account. The concept of regional security, which may appear self-evident to some, was previously overlooked and not given sufficient attention before Buzan's work. According to Buzan (1983), security, by its nature is relational, that is, it can neither be maintained nor understood as an isolated phenomenon. This understanding led him to developed "regional security complex theory" (RSCT), which views security of the states of a particular region as interdependent. Taken against this backdrop, the regional security network can serve as a valuable policy tool, and it also offers a suitable framework for addressing region-specific problems and will be helpful for policy makers to have a comprehensive strategy in countering multiple security hazards. It argues that countries ought to adopt an innovative approach and cultivate a robust regional security network. This network will aid policymakers and decision-makers in executing their plans efficiently.

While identifying the security challenges faced by countries in the region, this article aims at examining the feasibility of establishing such a RSN in South Asia. In so doing, this article employs a prescriptive approach to the development of a South Asian RSN. It discusses quite a diverse range of associated issues and concepts, such as reframing the concept of Security using modern International Relations theories, exploring the spectrum of security challenges in today's world, and suggesting the development of a robust RSN and its essential elements. It also gives recommendations and policy guidelines for policymakers to address multidimensional complex threats. This article is divided into five sections, followed by a brief conclusion. The first section presents a tour d'horizon of how security is conceptualized in mainstream theories of IR, including realism, liberalism, constructivism, and critical theory. In the second section an attempt has been made to explain how traditional and non-traditional security threats have made regional security more challenging and complicated. Here, some significant non-traditional security challenges have also been highlighted. Third and fourth sections focus on the importance of developing RSN in South Asia, its essential elements, and how such a network can be established. As the article is prescriptive in nature, the two section focus more on policy guidelines and recommendations vis-à-vis the prospect of establishing a RSN in the region. The next section also deals with the ways of mitigating diverse regional security threats.

2. Reframing the Concept of Security using Modern International Relations Theories

The concept of security has evolved significantly over the past few decades in response to changing global threats and challenges. Modern International Relations (IR) theories offer various perspectives on how security can be understood and achieved in a pragmatic manner. In the following paragraph a brief survey is provided concerning how the major IR theories—realism, liberalism, constructivism, and critical theory—view security.

2.1. Realism

Realism is a dominant theory in IR which views states as key rational actors that are primarily concerned with their own survival in an anarchic system where self-help is the "principle of action" (Waltz, 1988). According to realists, states operate in a world of anarchy where the absence of a world government leads to a constant competition for power and security. From this perspective, regional security is essential for states to protect themselves from external threats and maintain their political autonomy and position of power. Realists argue that states should seek to maximize their military capabilities and engage in alliances, if required, to ensure their security in a world where the clouds of war always hover. While realists acknowledge the desirability of having a peaceful world, they find it challenging to navigate the competitive and confrontational nature of the security environment. While creating a world without conflicts is certainly an appealing notion, it is not a pragmatic one. As Carr observes, the realist perspective underscores the formidable influence of prevailing dynamics and inescapable trends, emphasizing that the most astute course of action is to accommodate and adapt to these forces and tendencies (Carr & Cox, 1939; Mearsheimer & Alterman, 2001).

2.2. Liberalism

The origins of the liberal tradition can be traced back to the era of European Enlightenment, during which influential intellectuals and political figures believed in the capacity

of reason to effect positive change in human society (Hinsley, 1967). Unlike realism, liberalism emphasizes the importance of cooperation and interdependence among states in international relations. From a liberal perspective, states can enhance their security not only through military measures, but also through economic and diplomatic cooperation with other states. Security, therefore, cannot necessarily be a zero-sum game, where one's security may lead to another's insecurity as realists tend to think. Liberals are of the view that international institutions, organizations, and regimes as well norms and values can play a vital role in maintaining international peace and security by facilitating cooperation between states. For liberals, states are not locked into a doom-laden perpetual conflict, rather; they can solve their mutual problems peacefully. From a liberal perspective, the Kantian ideal of "perpetual peace" is not a utopian construct, that is, it can be materialized if states fulfill the requirements outlined by Kant (Kant, 1915).

2.3. Constructivism

Construction is an approach to international relations that highlights the role of ideational factors, such as norms and ideas, in shaping both the identity and interests of the states. Constructivists view security as well as threats primarily as a social construct, not merely a phenomenon associated with the material capabilities and resources. For them, states define their security through the structures of meaning, formed by their history, strategic culture, and their experience of interacting with significant others. Like anarchy, security is also what states make of it. What role states ascribe to others is crucial in their sense of security or insecurity. Constructivist scholars would argue that we can have a more stable and cooperative international environment if we change those ideas that underpin the structure of international politics. (Wendt, 1992).

2.4. Critical Security Studies

Critical Security Studies include a range of theories and perspectives that scrutinizes the traditionalist and military-state centric conception of security. It not only emphasizes non-military issues, it also brings marginalized and ignored segments of society into the conceptual orbit of security. While criticizing the conventional westphalian idea of security, critical study scholars prefer a more holistic, humanistic, and inclusive view of security that is responsive to the interests and concern of both states and social groups (Booth, 2007; Buzan, 1997). Critical security studies appears to be critical of the "securitization" of the security concept by materialists and rationalist theories of international relations. Much like constructivists, critical security scholars also believe that states are not locked into an iron cage of fear and insecurity. International peace and security can be achieved through change in both perspective and action.

3. Regional Security Paradigm: Exploring the Spectrum of Security Challenges in today's World

Notwithstanding the significance of global security and its relevance to every region and state, regional security is a crucial factor for nations that share a common and independent geographical area. It is quite axiomatic that a state neither feels secure nor can flourish in an unsafe, hostile, and strategically volatile neighborhood. A stable and peaceful regional security environment is, thus, an unescapably necessity for neighboring states across the globe. The rapidly changing nature of both traditional and non-traditional security threats and the shortening of the travelling space from one country to another demand an effective regional security paradigm, based on the idea of "indivisible (regional) security." Before turning to the issue of the region-based security, it seems relevant to underline how the line between traditional and non-traditional security threats has become blurred in today's world.

3.1. Traditional Security Threats

One can safely say that traditional security threats have remained at the center of both strategic thinking and statesmanship for centuries. These threats centered on the use of military force or other forms of (armed) violence directed at a state's territorial integrity and/or political independence. Military conflicts across the world are the primary manifestation of traditional threats. In recent times, conflicts in the Middle East, South Asia, and Africa have taken center stage. These conflicts have caused significant loss of life and displacement of people, leading to humanitarian crises. The conflicts in Syria and Yemen, for instance, have been devastating, with millions of people being displaced, and thousands losing their lives. Countries have invested heavily in their military capabilities to protect their territorial borders and defend their political

sovereignty. Military aggression remains a significant threat to global security. Countries continue to build up their military capabilities, and tensions between countries with territorial disputes, such as China and Japan or India and Pakistan, continue to simmer. The arms race and the development of new weapons technology have also added to this threat. Terrorism is another traditional security threat that has gained significant attention since the 9/11 attacks. Terrorist organizations like ISIS, Al-Qaeda, and Boko Haram continue to pose a significant threat to global security. Despite the enormous capacity and potential to cause death and destruction, traditional security threats are easy to deal with in the sense that they are clear to identify and can be neutralized by taking adequate defensive measures. And this is one important factor that makes non-traditional security threats a critical concern for states today.

3.2. Non-Traditional Security Threats

Non-traditional security threats are increasingly becoming a significant concern for both statesmen and scholars. These threats are diverse and have complex causes, making them more challenging to manage. In recent years, non-traditional security challenges have emerged as equally important to national security. These challenges include, among others, the following:

3.2.1. Climate Change

Climate change, with its potential to cause a global environmental “mutually assured destruction,” is one of the most significant non-traditional security challenges facing the modern world. Rising sea levels, extreme weather events, and water scarcity are just a few of the many consequences of climate change. These impacts have the potential to destabilize entire regions and trigger conflicts.

3.2.2. Cyber Security

Cyber security has become a growing concern for governments and businesses worldwide and is among the most pressing non-traditional security threats. Cyber-attacks can cause significant disruptions to critical infrastructure, such as energy and water supply systems. For instance, the cyber-attack on the Ukrainian energy grid in 2015 led to a major power outage (SANS Institute, 2015). Cyber-attacks can also target financial systems and data, leading to economic losses and identity theft. The unregulated use of artificial intelligence (AI) in a world also characterized by, what Nye has termed, “cyber-anarchy,” (Nye Jr, 2022) is another potential threat to international security.

3.2.3. Health Pandemics

The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the significant impact that health pandemics can have on global security. The virus has spread rapidly across the globe, causing widespread illness, death, and economic disruption (WHO, 2021). Health pandemics have the capacity not only to kill millions of human beings; they can also give birth to conflicts between states and lead them to mutual accusation and “nationalization” of necessary medicine as well as “politicization” of pandemics.

3.2.4. Economic Instability

Economic instability can also become a significant threat to the security of states. Developing and poor countries can be more susceptible to such instability. High inflation, unemployment, poverty, the lack of the basic means of life, and unequal distribution of wealth and resources can shake the foundations of the states and destroy the social fabric.

3.2.5. Migration and Displacement

Forced migration and displacement of people as a result of internal conflicts, external invasions, persecution, and natural calamities can also pose a serious threat to security of individual states and the world at large.

3.2.6. Energy Crisis

Given that the economy of the modern industrialized world is almost entirely dependent on non-renewable sources of energy, such as oil and coal, any crisis in the energy sector can create conflicts and ecological problems. Moreover, the sources of energy can also be used as a tool for political objectives, creating tensions between states and making energy resources a source of competition.

3.2.7. Food Scarcity

The growing human population, global warming, military conflicts, and environmental degradation may negatively affect the productive capacity of the planet earth, causing food scarcity and ultimately food insecurity. This may lead to a situation where nations fight for food resources. This may also result in the emergence of cartels, hoarding groups, mafias, and criminals both nationally and internationally.

3.2.8. Non-state Actors

Non-states actors, or what Rosenau (2006) has called "sovereignty-free actors (p.121), can also create serious security threats for the states. Through their activities, largely outside the jurisdiction of states, they can impede states from carrying out their primary duty of protecting their citizens and meeting their basic needs. This may put the legitimacy of many state governments to rule, preparing ground for internal political unrest and social disorder. Terrorist organizations, transnational criminal groups, and extremist elements can jeopardize the security of states. Non-state actors, if they are concerned with humanistic purposes, can be a positive force in international relations. They can facilitate states in peacefully solving their problems. They can also play a vital role in the formation of regional security networks in regions.

4. Importance of RSN in Precarious Security Environment of South Asia

Regional security networks can perform the crucial task of promoting peace and stability in the South Asian region, creating and shaping a peaceful amicable security environment. The importance and desirability of such networks emanate from the fact that they can foster cooperation, create a culture of friendly communication and coordination, and equip regional states with the necessary wherewithal to address security risks and threats. The key utility of RSNs is that they can become forums for dialogue and communication. By encouraging regional states to take confidence-building measures (CBMs), these networks can lead previously hostile and antagonist states to the road of peaceful co-existence. Through building communication bridges between the states, RSNs can foster a great deal of understanding of each other's motives, policy lines, diplomatic constraints, as well as geostrategic concerns. They can also build mutual trust and positive identifications. If established with good faith and maintained with supporting practices, these networks can transform the regional environment from being plagued with animosity to one that is marked by friendliness and good neighborliness.

Given the fact that the world has become unprecedentedly interconnected and that security threats now can cross borders at a great pace, RSNs have become increasingly relevant and important in coping with destabilizing forces. In the following we list some key points that demonstrate the significance of regional security networks. RSNs can promote cooperation and coordination between countries of a region, facilitating the sharing of intelligence, knowledge, resources, ideas, and values. This, in turn, enables the states to address issues of mutual concern collectively. By promoting cooperation and facilitating coordination, RSNs can enable regional states to tackle security threats before they escalate to military hostilities and war. They can also incentivize states to solve their problems peacefully.

In today's globalized world, one cannot imagine economic development taking place in an insecure and unstable area. Without peace and stability a region can witness economic stagnation. RSNs, by making the regional environment peaceful and stable, can contribute a lot to economic growth and high standards of living in regional states. This may discourage the use of force as it disrupts economic activities and mutual trade. RSNs can also assist regional states in managing natural resources in a better way. They can facilitate states in dealing with environmental challenges and reducing the prospect of conflicts and war over the distribution and possession of natural resources. RSNs can also enable a regional collective humanitarian response in the face of the outbreak of any natural hazard. They can make it easier to provide and transfer humanitarian aid if any country is hit by any natural crisis.

5. Developing a Robust RSN in South Asia: Essential Elements and Recommendations for Policymakers to Address Varied Threats

The geopolitical structure of South Asia is primarily a creation of European, especially British, colonialism. The colonial masters left the region without solving a number of territorial issues, which later pushed the region towards conflicts and wars. Even today, the unresolved disputes appear time and again to haunt the regional states. This state of affairs is compounded

by the emergence of new and evolving forms of threats and means of insecurity. To put it another way, the region is faced by both traditional military threats and non-traditional security challenges. Against this backdrop, the establishment of a robust RSN in South Asia appears indispensable for creating a peaceful security environment. This section outlines essential elements of such a network and some recommendations for the decision-making elites in the regional capitals to develop it. It should be mentioned here that the geostrategic landscape of South Asia is largely, if not predominantly, shaped by the nature of Pak-India relations. The points highlighted below are, therefore, more relevant to the two nuclear weapons states.

5.1. Essential Elements of a Robust RSN

5.1.1. Coordination and Cooperation

Coordination and cooperation between the regional states are necessary for the creation of a robust RSN. The scope of coordination and cooperation should extend to all elements of the states, ranging from their respective military forces to civil society organizations. It must be noted here that the processes of cooperation and coordination must not be hijacked by the activities of non-states actors, such as terrorist groups, as it has been quite a norm in case of Pak-India relations.

5.1.2. Intelligence

Intelligence gathering and sharing is a fundamental constituting element of RSN in South Asia. It not only fosters mutual trust and cooperation, it also enables states to identify and, then, neutralize potential security threats. It equips states to take preemptive and proactive steps to prevent the threats from jeopardizing regional security. It should also involve taking a whole-of-government approach on the part of all regional states.

5.1.3. Technology

Technology in the modern world is of great significance in the modern world, and it plays a critical role in the establishment of a RSN in any region. It can be used for both positive/constructive and negative/destructive purposes by states. If guided by mutually-agreed principles and ethical considerations, technology can be a force for good neighborly relations, preparing the ground for the development of a RSN in South Asia.

5.1.4. Collaborative Training and Capacity Building

Collaborative training and capacity building is yet another important element of a RSN. Training can play a significant role in developing expertise, knowledge, and skills to name just a few to counter threats of various natures. Capacity building, on the other hand equips regional states with the necessary wherewithal to deal successfully and skillfully with any type of threat. It can also be a factor to create a culture of shared responsibility and mutual trust.

5.1.5. Shared Resilience

Resilience is a critical element of a long-lasting and enduring RSN. It refers to the ability of the members of the RSN to stand up to security threats and to recover, if any threat materializes, from them. The South Asian RSN should, therefore, be established in a way that it stands resilient and functional in the face of any potential or actual threat.

5.1.6. Monitoring and Detection

Continuous monitoring and timely detection is important to ensure a stable regional security environment, and are therefore necessary for any RSN. It is usually achieved by utilizing intrusion detection systems (IDSs), which can help identify any suspicious activity and development and can alert armed forces to prepare for addressing it. If a shared regional system of monitoring and detection is established, it can contribute to make the South Asian RSN resilient and responsive to the security concerns of its members.

6. Policy Guidelines for South Asian Region

To ensure the effectiveness of a robust RSN against varied threats, policymakers in the capitals of the South Asian region should consider the following recommendations.

6.1. Develop Regional Cyber Security Standards

Policymakers should develop regional cyber security standards that reflect the unique security challenges facing the RSN. This can help to ensure that member countries have a

common understanding of the cyber security risks and can take appropriate measures to mitigate them.

6.2. Establish Information Sharing Mechanisms

Policymakers should establish information sharing mechanisms between government agencies, industry stakeholders, and other relevant parties to facilitate the exchange of threat intelligence and best practices. This can help to identify and respond to threats more quickly and effectively.

6.3. Foster Public-Private Partnerships

Policymakers should foster public-private partnerships to encourage collaboration between government agencies and industry stakeholders. This can help to improve cyber security awareness and preparedness across the private sector, as well as facilitate the development of new technologies and best practices.

6.4. Establish a Common Framework

Policymakers should establish a common framework for the RSN that defines its objectives, policies, and procedures. This should be done in collaboration with all member countries to ensure that the framework reflects their respective security priorities and challenges.

6.5. Implement Regular Regional Security Assessments

Policymakers should implement regular regional security assessments to identify vulnerabilities and develop mitigation strategies. This should be done in collaboration with all member countries to ensure that the assessments reflect their respective security priorities and challenges. (Source: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development).

6.6. Develop Regional Cyber security Training Programs

Policymakers should develop regional cyber security training programs to educate network users on security best practices and policies. This should be done in collaboration with all member countries to ensure that the training reflects their respective security priorities and challenges.

7. Policy Guidelines for Mitigating Regional Security Threats

Mitigating regional security threats in South Asia is a complex and challenging process that requires a comprehensive, well-thought and coordinated approach from multiple stakeholders. Here are some policy guidelines that can be considered for mitigating regional security threats:

7.1. Early Warning Systems

Early warning systems are essential for mitigating regional security threats, as they enable prompt and effective responses to potential crises. These systems should be comprehensive by including multiple sources and channels of information and decentralized by involving multiple stakeholders within member states. Such systems should also involve well-trained and skillful personnel, equip with all necessary resources, not only to facilitate effective communication between states, but also to gather, analyze, evaluate, and share information with all stakeholders.

7.2. Intelligence Sharing

As noted earlier, intelligence sharing is a critical element of any RSN. It enables member states to gather and share information with each other and to coordinate their responses to any emerging security threat. Any intelligence sharing arrangement, however, should be guided by some principles that ensure honesty and transparency, observe universal legal and ethical standards, and respect each other's sensitivities and concerns. This cannot happen without creating confidence and trust among the intelligence agencies of the member states. It should also make some room for private and civil sector organizations to play their role. These and other such steps can create a culture of information sharing, building at the same time trust and confidence between regional states.

7.3. Counterterrorism Strategies

A single act of terrorism by a non-state actor can derail long-term processes of building peace and stability in South Asia. Examples of this case abound in the region. It is, therefore, essential to formulate and execute counterterrorism strategies so as any terrorist group could not sabotage efforts taken to ensure peace in the region and cordial relations between regional states. One can say that without any well-developed counterterrorism system in south Asia, the development of a RSN can be just a cherished dream. Any counterterrorism mechanism should include both preventive and preemptive measures. It should also be directed to eradicate the root causes of terrorism in the region. Despite being exclusively "gun-centric" approach, any counterterrorism initiative should also equally be driven by employing soft power resources. Efforts should also be made to prevent radicalization and extremism of any sort in regional societies by promoting dialogue between various religious, sectarian, and ethnic groups. Respect for human rights and observation of international humanitarian law is yet another crucial element in countering terrorism as an otherwise strategy may further fuel terrorism.

7.4. Border Security Measures

The current territorial borders between regional states are largely a creation of colonialism that in many cases appear to be artificial. This has created a tense environment in the region as many borders are not recognized by competing states. Border security measures are, therefore, critical for the emergence of a South Asian RSN. Such measures can prevent the illegal movement of goods and people. Over the decades, poor border security managements have cause the growth of the exchange of drugs and weapons as well as the infiltration of terrorist and criminal groups. To develop and implement border security states should curb unlawful trans-border movement of goods and people. Border patrols, surveillance, and deployment of law enforcement and armed forces are among the measures that should be implemented. Peaceful resolution of territorial disputes can be the ultimate solution to this issue. Attention should also be paid to the concerns of communities that have been divided among regional states as a result of demarcation of borders at the time of independence.

7.5. Cyber Security Measures

Cyber security measures are aimed at protecting critical systems and devices as well as the sensitive information possessed by them from theft or damage (IBM, nd; National Cyber Security Centre UK, nd). Given the fact that almost everything has become digitalized in today's world, insecurity in the cyber space may create numerous problems for states. Taking adequate and coordinated cyber security measures is a critical component of any RSN. It is thus important to develop a comprehensive mechanism for addressing cyber threats, which can detect, handle and prevent such threats from inflicting damage. States should cooperate with one another to ensure that the digital world is not run and controlled by criminals and terrorists. If not monitored and regulated by the states, any unfortunate activity in the cyber space has the potential to drag states to war. The management of the cyber world by the states, however, must not end up in violating human rights and individual privacy. We recommend here that states in South Asia should, in the first place, attempt to form a regional cybersecurty regime, which not only formulates laws and principles related to cyber space, but also requires states to pool their resources and expertise to safeguard digital devices and services from misuse.

7.6. Law Enforcement Cooperation

Law Enforcement Cooperation is critical for the development of a stable regional security environment as it curbs the spread of crimes in the region often by non-state actors. Close cooperation between law enforcement agencies of the members of a RSN through exchange of information, regional agreements, and technical coordination can lead to the safety and security of public life and property. States should invest on capacity-building of regional law enforcement agencies so that they may enforce law and order jointly. The occurrence of natural calamities is part of human life on planet earth. For some, the threat and occurrence of natural hazards may be the biggest security challenge faced by states.

7.7. Disaster Management and Emergency Response

Disaster management and emergency response should, thus, be a fundamental element of any RSN. By developing comprehensive disaster management plans and emergency responses states can mitigate the effects of natural disasters. States should work together on developing early warning systems for such calamities and strengthening the capacity of emergency agencies. Emergency relief assistance and humanitarian aid should never fall victim to political differences

between states. The development of regional disaster management mechanisms are more important to the states of the South Asian region as it is prone to many natural disasters owing to its geography and climatic patterns.

7.8. Implementation of Policy Guidelines

7.8.1. Governments and policy makers

Governments and policy makers play a crucial role in the implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks. The following are some key roles:

- Governments have a critical role in the development and implementation of regional security policies, as they have the authority and resources to coordinate and support efforts to mitigate security threats at the regional level.
- Policy makers have a responsibility to prioritize regional security and ensure that the necessary resources and funding are allocated to support the implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks.
- Effective implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks requires strong inter-agency coordination and cooperation among government agencies responsible for regional security, as well as active engagement of civil society organizations, the private sector, and other stakeholders.
- Governments and policy makers should prioritize monitoring and evaluation of the implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks to ensure that policy objectives are being met and identify areas for improvement.
- As they have the authority, resources, and responsibility to facilitate cooperation and coordination among stakeholders, allocate resources and funding, and monitor and evaluate the implementation of policies to ensure their effectiveness, governments and policy makers have the central role in implementing a wide array of policy guidelines.

7.9. Regional Organizations

Regional Organizations have a key role to play in the implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks. Details are as under:

- Regional organizations can serve as platforms for coordination and cooperation among member states, as well as facilitate the exchange of best practices, capacity-building, and joint responses to security threats.
- Regional organizations can also provide technical assistance and expertise to member states in the development and implementation of regional security policy guidelines, as well as establish monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to ensure their effectiveness.
- Effective implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks requires the active engagement of regional organizations and their member states, as well as other stakeholders, to build trust and foster collaboration in addressing security threats.
- Regional organizations can also facilitate the mobilization of resources and funding from international donors and partners to support the implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks.
- Regional organizations can provide platforms for coordination and cooperation, technical assistance and expertise, monitoring and evaluation mechanisms, and mobilization of resources and funding to support regional security efforts.

7.10. Internal State Agencies

Internal State Agencies can also contribute substantially to the implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks. Important aspects are appended below:

- Internal State agencies such as law enforcement forces, border security forces, and emergency response teams play a vital role in implementing policy guidelines for regional security networks by ensuring the security of their respective areas of responsibility.
- Internal State agencies can also provide intelligence and information to regional organizations and their member states, as well as coordinate and collaborate with their regional counterpart to address security threats.
- Effective implementation of policy guidelines for regional security networks requires the active participation and engagement of Internal State agencies in the development and implementation of regional security strategies and initiatives.

- Internal State agencies can also provide technical expertise and training to their personnel to enhance their capabilities in responding to security threats and challenges.

7.11. Civil Society Organizations (CSOs)

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) can also make the implementation of policy guidelines for RSNs possible. The following are some important cardinals that highlight their roles:

- CSOs can act as watchdogs to ensure that regional security policies and initiatives align with human rights principles, and can advocate for the inclusion of civil society perspectives and participation in regional security governance.
- CSOs can also provide critical feedback on the implementation of regional security policies and initiatives, and can assist in identifying gaps and areas for improvement in regional security frameworks.
- CSOs can engage in community-level initiatives to build resilience to security threats and can provide support and assistance to vulnerable communities affected by insecurity, displacement, and conflict.
- CSOs can also provide technical assistance and capacity-building support to governments and regional organizations to enhance their capabilities in implementing regional security policies and initiative.
- CSOs can also contribute to build transnational community bridges between states by bringing people of different nations together through, for example, organizing cultural, educational, and recreational programs.

7.12. Private sector organizations (PSOs)

Private sector organizations (PSOs) also have a significant role to play in the materialization of the above mentioned policy guidelines. Here are some citations that highlight their roles:

- The private sector can support the implementation of regional security policies and initiatives by providing funding, resources, and expertise to governments and regional organizations.
- Private sector organizations can also contribute to the development of regional security frameworks by providing input and feedback on policies and initiatives and sharing best practices and lessons learned.
- Private sector organizations can also be valuable partners in regional security initiatives, such as public-private partnerships for cybersecurity, infrastructure protection, and emergency response.
- The private sector can also play a role in building resilience to security threats by investing in community-level initiatives, supporting local economic development, and promoting social cohesion.

8. Conclusion

The security challenges facing South Asia require a coordinated regional response to mitigate their impact and promote stability in the region. The policy guidelines proposed in this article offer practical recommendations for building a regional security network in South Asia. Enhancing information sharing and intelligence cooperation, strengthening border management, promoting regional economic integration, and developing a regional disaster management framework are among critical components of building a regional security network in South Asia. As highlighted in the article, there are several security challenges facing the region, including terrorism, border disputes, drug trafficking, and natural disasters, and the like. These challenges cannot be effectively addressed by individual countries acting alone. A regional security network would allow countries in the region to work together to mitigate these threats and promote stability and security in the region. There have been some efforts to establish a regional security network in South Asia, such as the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) and the Bay of Bengal Initiative for Multi-Sectoral Technical and Economic Cooperation (BIMSTEC). However, these initiatives have been limited in their effectiveness due to political differences and mistrust between countries in the region.

To develop an effective regional security network, countries in South Asia need to prioritize regional cooperation and work towards common goals. This requires a shift in mindset from individual country-centric approaches to a more collaborative and cooperative approach. It also requires political will and sustained efforts on the part of all states to implement the

recommendations proposed in the policy guideline. By working together towards common goals, countries in South Asia can promote stability and security in the region, and create a brighter future for their citizens.

Governments and policymakers of South Asian regions should play a crucial role in the implementation of these policy guidelines by allocating resources, providing leadership, and creating an enabling environment for regional cooperation. Regional organizations should collaborate with governments and other stakeholders to develop and implement effective policies and initiatives to address security threats. Internal state agencies, civil society organizations, and private sector organizations can provide support by providing funding, resources, and expertise, contributing to policy development and implementation, and being partners in security initiatives. An effective regional RSN can effectively mitigate diverse security threats and create a safe and stable environment for the people of the region.

Pakistan has a crucial role to play in the development of a regional security network in the South Asian region. As a major regional player, a nuclear power, and one of the largest countries in the region, Pakistan's cooperation and active participation in any regional security initiative are critical for its success. Without taking Pakistan on board no RSN can developed in the region. Much like other regional states, Pakistan has been grappling with its own security challenges, including terrorism, border disputes, and regional instability. Like other states in the region, Pakistan, therefore, has a vested interest in promoting regional security cooperation and addressing these threats through a collaborative approach. If any robust RSN gains ground in the region and becomes effective, it may not only transformed the regional security environment from one that is shaped by the pitfalls of the "security dilemma" to one that is characterized by a sense of collective security, it will also contribute in a large scale to the socio-economic well-being of the people of the region.

References

- Booth, K. (2007). *Theory of world security* (Vol. 105): Cambridge University Press.
- Buzan, B. (1983). *People, States and Fear; The National Security Problem in International Relation*: The University of North Carolina Press.
- Buzan, B. (1997). Rethinking security after the Cold War. *Cooperation and conflict*, 32(1), 5-28. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836797032001001>
- Carr, E. H., & Cox, M. (1939). *The Twenty Years' Crisis, 1919-1939*: Reissued with a New Preface from Michael Cox. London: Palgrave Macmillan. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-349-95076-8>
- Hinsley, F. H. (1967). *Power and the Pursuit of Peace: Theory and Practice in the History of Relations between States*: Cambridge University Press.
- Kant, I. (1915). *Perpetual peace: A philosophical essay*: G. Allen & Unwin Limited.
- Mearsheimer, J. J., & Alterman, G. (2001). *The tragedy of great power politics*: WW Norton & Company.
- Nye Jr, J. S. (2022). The End of Cyber-Anarchy?: How to Build a New Digital Order. *Foreign Aff.*, 101, 32.
- Rosenau, J. N. (2006). *The two Worlds of World Politics. In Classic Readings and Contemporary Debates in International Relations*, by P. Williams, N. M. Goldsten, and J. M Shafritz, . Retrieved from
- SANS Institute, s. (2015). *Cyber-attack on Ukrainian Critical Infrastructure. SANS Reading Room*. Retrieved from <https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/incident/cyber-attack-ukrainian-critical-infrastructure-36730>
- Waltz, K. N. (1988). The origins of war in neorealist theory. *The journal of interdisciplinary history*, 18(4), 615-628. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2307/204817>
- Wendt, A. (1992). Anarchy is what states make of it: the social construction of power politics. *International organization*, 46(2), 391-425. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027764>
- WHO, W. H. O. (2021). *WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard*. Retrieved from <https://covid19.who.int/>